U S WEST, Inc. Suite 700 1020 Nineteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20036 202 429-3131 FAX 202 296-5157

FX PARTE OR LATE FILED

USWEST

BB NugentExecutive Director
Federal Regulatory

EX PARTE RECEIVE

MAY

4 1999

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

May 4, 1999

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 - 12th Street, SW, TW A-325 Washington, DC 20554

RE:

CC Docket No. 99-35, Local Number Portability Tariff Filings of U S WEST Communications

Dear Ms. Salas:

On April 30, 1999, Bill Johnston, Jim Hannon, Elridge Stafford and the undersigned, representing U S WEST, met with Kris Monteith, Jay Atkinson, Chris Barnekov, Carol Canteen, Lloyd Collier, Doug Galbi, Rhonda Lien, Raj Kannan and Janet Sievert of the Competitive Pricing Division as well as Bob Bentley, Hugh Boyle and Henry Morrow of the Accounting & Safeguards Division to discuss the above-referenced issue. The attached material was distributed at the meeting and served as the basis of the discussion.

In accordance with Section 1.1206(a)(2) of the Commission's rules, an original and one copy of this letter and the attachment are being filed with your office for inclusion in the public record.

Acknowledgment and date of receipt of this submission are requested.

Sincerely,

Enclosure

Copy w/ Enclosure:

BB Nugent

Ms. Kris Monteith Mr. Jay Atkinson Mr. Chris Barnekov

Ms. Carol Canteen Mr. Lloyd Collier

Mr. Doug Galbi

Ms. Rhonda Lien

No. of Copies rec'd C'+

List ABCDE

Mr. Raj Kannan Ms. Janet Sievert

Mr. Bob Bentley

Mr. Hugh Boyle

Mr. Henry Morrow

Summary of LNP Costs

Total Costs to Deploy LNP \$ 788,361

Total Costs Included in Tariff as filed 473,559

Total LNP Implementation Costs Excluded from Tariff Filing \$ 314,802

% of LNP Implementation Costs Excluded from Tariff Filing 40%

Excluded Costs:

	\$	<u>%</u>
Network	224,772	45.6
OSS '	39,732	26.5
Service Delivery	5,815	7.1
Cost of Money	44,482	77.6
	<u>\$ 314,802</u>	40%



Network Costs

Excluded LNP Costs:

Switch Replacements		\$ 75,481
Generic Upgrades		
1AE13	\$7,678	
4E22.2	851	
5E10	8,399	
5ESS	27,196	
DMS10/100	<u>37,936</u>	
Total Generics		82,060
MDS to MDSI conversion		38,395
Number group growth expansion		10,284
Other (Features & Labor Costs)		<u> 18,552</u>
Excluded LNP Network Costs		\$ 224 <u>,772</u>



Network Costs

Included in Tariff Filing:

SSP Software -

\$ 7,505 **IAESS**

4ESS

2,654 23,755 AXE & DMS **SESS**

82,580

Total

Links

14,246

\$ 106,494

39,124

17,333

31,582

49,411

10,006

\$8,914

\$ 259,352

\$ 268,196

SCP's STP's Associated OH's

Trunking, Circuits & Lines

Other

't Total Network Costs Included in Filing

Recovered in Query charge Recovered in Surcharge



-:-
Ŗ
_=
₻
=
ᇹ
3
-3
-
(2)
ž
~
\sim
$\mathbf{\mathcal{C}}$
•
Z
3
_

Network Routing -

SS7 Monitoring

Other

Total

\$ 1,132

156

\$ 1,288

240

13,370

Loop Management Operation System (LMOS)

Repair

Provisioning -

Mechanized Loop Test

Other

Total

System Maintenance

Other

Billing

2,611

878

\$ 16,859

5,057

12,570

3,718

\$ 39,732

Total LNP Related OSS Costs Excluded from Filing



Included in Filing:

	\$ 25,916
\$ 15,411	
13,764	
	29,175
	-
	1,221
	36,496
	<u>17,308</u>
	<u>\$ 110,116</u>



Service Delivery

Excluded Costs	<u>\$5,815</u>
Included Costs:	
Interconnect Service Center	\$ 57,509
Service Negotiation	1,128
Training, Methods and Procedures	1,666
Order Processing Center	8,705
CLEC Contracts	1,474
Operator Information's Services	1,053
Markets Program Office	_3,490
Total Service Delivery Costs Included in Filing	<u>\$ 75,025</u>
Total Service Delivery Costs	\$80,840



Price Cap Example

Year 1 Revenue \$100,000 **Expenses** 90,000 **Profit** \$ 10,000 Year 2 **Index Adjustment** Inflation 2.0 **Productivity Offset** (6.5)<u>(4.5)</u> Year 2 Price reduction \$ 4,500 (\$100,000 x .045) Required Profit increase assuming 5% growth 500 Expense reduction or revenue growth \$ 5,000



Price Cap Example

Product Revenues	Year 1	Year 2	¹ Year 2
		Reduction	Revenues
Product 1	20,000	(900)	19,100
Product 2	20,000	(900)	19,100
Product 3	20,000	(900)	19,100
Product 4	20,000	(900)	19,100
Product 5	<u>20,000</u>	<u>(900)</u>	<u>19,100</u>
	100,000	<u>(4,500)</u>	<u>95,500</u>

¹Assumes no growth

Options to achieve year 2 profit expectations -

- 1. Grow volumes for existing products
- 2. Cut expenses
- 3. Introduce new products

Option 1

Can't rely solely on existing products - Product cycle

Option 2

Majority of costs are fixed...Can't get solely from variable costs

Option 3

Many new products leverage off of existing infrastructure



Signaling Network

- •Deployed in late 1980's.
- •U S WEST deployed Erricson STP.
- •Others deployed different vendors STP's.
- •Erricson STP's have been most reliable in the nation.
 - No outages have ever occurred
- •Erricson STP's had a port limit of 146 SS7 links
 - Purchased replacement processors to upgrade to 350 port capacity
 - -Tekelec STP has a capacity of 500SS7 link



Impact of Different Switch Technologies

Relative cost of Switch Upgrades for LNP

2E22 126%
DW2 100 100%
IVE22 100%



Cost of Money

Excluded Cost:

Switch Advancement

Lost Depreciation on Early Retirements

19,030

5,076

\$ 44,482

\$ 20,376

Pre-1999 Cost

Total Cost of Money Excluded From Filing

Included Cost:

Pre-1999 Cost

Total LNP Related Cost of Money

12,862 \$ 57,344

Ilfe's better here' @ contact the contact that the contact the con

STP Upgrades vs. 5th SCP Pair

- •Placing the Message Relay Point (MRP) in a single SCP pair was the most efficient and cost-effective approach.
 - •Adding capacity to the STP's would have been approximately 10 times as expensive.
- •No new services were enabled.
- •Existing services are disabled for ported numbers if the MRP is not added.
- •Illinois Commerce Commission standards require this capability for LNP



Service Delivery

- •All costs included represent incremental costs incurred or projected based on current staffing levels.
- •All LNP capable LEC's have significant incremental order processing costs uniquely related to ported #'s.
- •It is impossible to port a number without incurring this cost.
- •Cost relates only to ported numbers no new service.
- •Not doing this puts CLEC's at a competitive disadvantage.
- •Charging only on ported numbers puts CLEC's at a competitive disadvantage.
- •Forcing LEC's to recover costs on services not ported puts LEC's at a competitive disadvantage.



- •Network routing and provisioning are necessary for call processing.
- •If the switch is not provisioned properly or if the SCP is not updated for routing information a ported number will fail.
- •Although billing and repair are important functions call processing will work properly without these modifications.



OSS Costs Recoverable Provisioning and Network Routing System

Customer care systems are essential in:

The determination of eligibility of a number for porting Providing routing information to facilities and network elements

Service Order Processors are required to:

Identify the order as portable

Apply network routing information to the order

Apply LNP FIDs and USOCs to the order for proper provisioning and telephone number administration



. The control of the state of t

OSS Costs

Recoverable Provisioning and Network Routing Systems

Network Element Provisioning must:

Map network routing information to facilities
Provision the LNP number to the Network Element
Provide LNP Telephone Number Administration

Network Routing systems manage:

The creation and transmission of network routing data to the NPAC

Service Provider synchronization of number port



OSS Costs Recoverable Billing Systems

Billing Systems provide

Capability to bill Queries to the Service Control Point Database

Surcharge billing for LNP cost recovery



Non- Recoverable Provisioning Systems

Network Provisioning Systems:

The ALOC/CNUM system provides telephone number administration and address information for all types of numbers at US WEST. Only the portion specific to LNP, telephone number administration of ported numbers, is considered cost recoverable.

APRIL activates services to the network elements. Updating the system to activate location changes benefits all of US WEST services and was not considered for cost recovery.

